

Global Warming

not caused by carbon dioxide

Oceans are heating,
not the atmosphere
([atm stable since 1998](#))

Gary Novak
Independent Scientist

[Science Home](#)

Global Warming:
[Crunching the Numbers](#)
[Absorption Spectra](#)
[Explanations](#)
[Oceans not Rising](#)
[Future Ice Age](#)
[Acid in the Oceans](#)
[Context](#)

[IPCC Propaganda](#)

[Fake Ice Core Data](#)

[The Water Vapor Fraud](#)

["Delicate Balance" Fraud](#)

[Global Dynamic](#)

[The 41% Fraud](#)

[The 30% Fraud](#)

[A Fake Mechanism](#)

[220x10⁻¹² °C](#)

[Energy Fraud](#)

[Addiction to Oil](#)

[How can all those
scientists be wrong](#)

[Peer review is a sham](#)

[Fraudulent Consensus](#)

[A Look at Modeling](#)

[Logic Error](#)

[Propaganda](#)

[The Misconception](#)

[Ice Core Measurements](#)

[Global Cooling by CO2](#)

[Stephan-Boltzmann](#)

The Science of Global Warming in Perspective

News Flash: [Twenty Year Cool-Down](#)

Mainstream media ignores it

All biological life is based on carbon. It all cycles through carbon dioxide. To try screwing up the carbon cycle is one of the most criminally insane things humans could do. The small amount of carbon originating from petroleum is a slight correction of the disappearance of carbon in sea shells and limestone. There was five times as much CO₂ in the air during dinosaur years. Now, plants are getting one third as much CO₂ as they need. You don't think scientists are that dumb? Read the rest of my [web site](#) and find out.

Thirty one thousand scientists signed a petition saying humans are not the cause of global warming. [External Link](#)

The fraud of carbon dioxide agitators is visible in the shutting down of coal plants. Very little reduction in CO₂ results, while dependence upon foreign energy increases. Why not shut down the airlines? People need heat and electricity more than they need to fly. It's not about protecting the environment; it's a war against the lower classes.

Not the least absurdity is that warmer temperatures and increased rainfall are infinitely better than the cold that is beginning to descend upon the planet.

Five Major Arguments

1. There is no scientifically valid mechanism for CO₂ causing global warming.

Carbon dioxide absorbs all radiation available to it in about ten meters. More CO₂ only shortens the distance, which is not an increase in temperature. In other words, the first 20% of the CO₂ in the air does most of what CO₂ does, and it doesn't do much. ([Heinz Hug](#))

2. [Oceans regulate](#) the amount of CO₂ in the air through absorption

[Latest Updates](#)

[Cap and Trade Fraud](#)

Review:

[Al Gore's](#)

[An Inconvenient Truth](#)

Outside Links:

["Authorities" Contrive](#)

[News Collection](#)

[August 14, 2007](#)

Video:

[The Great Global Warming Swindle](#)

Order DVD:
[Here](#)

Another DVD—

[Global Warming or Global Governance](#)

[Senate Floor Speech](#)
[October 26, 2007](#)

[Excerpts of Speech](#)

equilibrium.

Equilibrium is rapid and total as indicated by many sources of evidence. One, the [graph for CO2](#) in the air is an extremely precise line. If nothing were regulating, it would vary wildly. Two, if equilibrium were not established, oceans would be absorbing or losing CO2 at a high rate, yet the changes are held at invariable and controlled levels.

3. Water vapor would swamp any effects by CO2, if greenhouse gasses were really creating global warming.

Water vapor has three times as much bandwidth for absorption peaks, and there are about 33 times as many water vapor molecules in the atmosphere as CO2 molecules, which means 100 times as much of a supposed greenhouse effect. And water vaporizes and precipitates so rapidly that it would be creating billions of times as much change in temperature as CO2, if the same logic were applied to it. [Water Vapor](#)

4. The public is being misled through propaganda to assume CO2 is like a sheet of plastic holding in heat.

CO2 can only absorb 8% of radiation frequencies available (No scientists dispute this.), and only about 1% of the heat leaves the earth as radiation—the other 99% being conduction, convection and evaporation. If the agitators really cared they would find out the facts and produce real solutions; but they don't, because they have ulterior motives of shoving the lower classes out of the economy, and CO2 is nothing but a pretext.

5. The IPCC is nothing but political hacks who override scientists to promote an agenda.


They start at the end point and rationalize it through computer models which make a mockery of real science and evidence. [IPCC](#)

See [How Did Science Go Wrong?](#)

There is a shortage of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere for plant growth, because [alkaline oceans absorb](#) it and only release a small amount. The atmosphere is only **0.04% CO2.**

When an ice age begins, global Warming occurs exactly as it is doing now. Heated oceans cause increased evaporation followed by precipitation. Eventually, increased snowfall will reflect away solar energy and trigger a cool-down.

Ocean Temperatures over 800,000 Years



Each large cycle is an ice age (occurring every 100,000 yrs).

Now is above the dotted line on the right side. Oceans have been heating continuously since the last ice age. ([see below](#)) My impression is that the smaller blips are caused by solar energy, and the larger cycles are caused by heat from within the earth, perhaps related to electromagnetic energy. (See [Electric Universe](#) by Talbott and Thornhill)

[graph source one](#)

[graph source two](#)

[Study shows oceans are the cause of temperature increase.](#)

There is no valid mechanism for carbon dioxide creating global warming

"Greenhouse gases" absorb all radiation available to them in a few meters ([Heinz Hug](#)). The limiting factor is in the radiation, not the greenhouse gases. More of the gas cannot absorb more radiation. A thick sheet of plastic does nothing more than a thin sheet. Doubling the CO₂ would only shorten the distance for absorption of radiation from 10 meters to 5 meters, which is not an increase in temperature. So a [fake mechanism](#) was contrived.

Here's a quantitative reason why carbon dioxide does not create global warming:

The sun's energy goes through the atmosphere and strikes the earth's surface.

claimed heat due to atmosphere --- **33°C**

95-99% due to [various things](#) --- **31.4°C**

1-5% due to infrared radiation from earth's surface --- **1.65°C**

8% of infrared bandwidth available to CO₂ --- **0.13°C**

3% of CO₂ produced by humans --- **0.0039°C**

5% of absorption "unsaturated" for global warming --- **0.0002°C**

claimed global warming --- **0.6°C**

Explanations — [Crunching the Numbers](#)

[It's actually \$220 \times 10^{-12}\$ °C](#)

This means carbon dioxide cannot trap radiant energy near the surface of the earth. To get around this, an obfuscated [mechanism](#) is contrived for heat leaving the atmosphere. But it is a miniscule effect in the stratosphere, with little influence over the lower atmosphere.

[The 41% Fraud](#)

[The 30% Fraud](#)

How could all of those scientists be wrong? They get paid to be wrong through billions of dollars from the government; and they are [not a consensus](#).

[How the Firing Works](#)

[Fake Consensus Based on Fraudulent Survey](#)

[Nature Study — 20 Year Cool-Down](#)

The dramatic cool-down, first around the Antarctic, and then around the Arctic, over the past year is more evidence that ocean currents are controlling the result. Warm water flowing over the Bering Strait appears to have changed the direction of ocean currents, first diverting warm water away from the Southern Hemisphere, and then melting Arctic ice like a giant ice cube. After the Arctic ice is gone (maybe five years), oceans should resume an increase in temperature.

Melting Arctic ice over the past year apparently caused a lot of precipitation to form, which would release heat into the atmosphere to be emitted into space. This means a large amount of global heat loss may have occurred.

There are indications of reduced solar energy, but that effect should be too slow and gradual to explain the dramatic chill over the past year.

[some new measurements](#) — at NPR

[Antarctic Ocean Cooling](#) — at Nature

The real cause of global warming could be an increase in solar energy, as critics generally claim; but there is evidence that it is due to variations in heat from the earth's core. Ice ages are caused by oceans heating, which appears to result from increased heat from inside the earth. The primary evidence is the exact cycling of ice ages. Environmental factors would not be so precise. Also, the oceans heating more than the atmosphere points to the heat coming from inside the earth.

The earth's core is fluid, in constant motion and heterogeneous, which adds up to variations in heat escaping to the surface. ([Science Article](#))

[Volcanoes Show how Sunshine Heats Oceans](#) — external link

Ice over oceans is melting much faster than expected. The reason is because the oceans are heating, not the atmosphere. Heated oceans can melt ice rapidly, while heated air cannot. Ninety percent of ice is below the surface—exposed to water, not air—and water has the heat capacity needed for melting.

The recent cool-down is consistent with oceans heating, because ocean currents are highly erratic and unexplainable, as an El Nino demonstrates, and they create odd microclimates in the atmosphere.

New Concept

The melting of Arctic ice, which is now occurring, will cause increased evaporation from Arctic ocean water and precipitation as snowfall in northern areas. This process could be the trigger mechanism for an ice age. Increased snowfall will reflect away more sunlight resulting in a global cool-down.

Shortage of Carbon Dioxide

Two billion years ago, there was a huge amount of carbon dioxide in the air and carbonate in the oceans. Since then, most of it disappeared. It was converted to calcium carbonate in the oceans and ultimately limestone. Now there is a shortage. The human addition is slightly rescuing life and the planet by increasing the CO₂. For propagandists to claim humans are destroying life and the planet through CO₂ couldn't be a bigger fraud. [See Acid in the Oceans Fraud](#)

List of Critical Facts


1. There's no valid mechanism for CO₂ creating global warming. Greenhouse gases absorb all radiation available to them in short distances ([Heinz Hug](#)), which means more greenhouse gas only shortens the distance of absorption, which is not an increase in heat. The first 20% of the CO₂ in the atmosphere does most of what CO₂ does. (See [Fake Mechanism](#).)

2. There's no "delicate balance of heat trapping gasses" for humans to upset. Water vapor is one hundred times as much of a greenhouse gas as carbon dioxide, and it varies massively due to evaporation and precipitation. A one percent variation in water vapor effect would be equivalent to doubling the CO₂ effect.

[The "Delicate Balance" Fraud](#)
[Water Vapor Fraud](#)

3. Oceans regulate CO₂ in the atmosphere to the minutest detail due to equilibrium. Equilibrium means strictly defined concentrations in the liquid and air above it. [Segalstad](#)


This is shown by an El Nino in the Pacific Ocean, which causes CO₂ measurements in the air to increase, and then they renormalize when the El Nino disappears. [External Link on El Nino](#)



Graph by Prof Lance Endersbee

[Seafriends.org](http://seafriends.org)

4. Measurements of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere show small seasonal blips which are the same every year. It means CO2 does not accumulate for centuries in the atmosphere as propagandists claim. CO2 has to come out in days or weeks to show uniform seasonal blips. [Data Source](#)



5. There is no direct relationship between CO2 produced and CO2 used. Therefore, if regulation were not occurring, the graph for the amount in the air would vary wildly. Instead it tracks meticulously. [Global Dynamic Page](#)

6. Nature puts 33 times as much CO2 into the air through decay as humans produce, and the oceans exchange CO2 with the atmosphere 19 times as fast as humans produce it. So humans produce a miniscule effect on CO2 which has a miniscule effect on climate. It's statistically, scientifically, logically a non-effect. [more details](#)

7. Computer models (the only source of information for propagandists including the IPCC) consider none of these basic points of science. Computer models are nothing but a fraudulent method of erasing the science of the subject.

8. The reason why CO2 levels in the atmosphere have been increasing is because warmer oceans release more CO2. The amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is a measure of global, average ocean temperatures.

9. Carbon dioxide is not a pollutant. It is necessary for biology, and there is a shortage of it, contrary to the Supreme Court. [Global Dynamic](#)

10. Carbon dioxide absorbs radiation in narrow bands, which means most radiation goes around it.

11. Very little radiation is emitted from the surface of the earth. It's about 1-5% of the energy, not the 41-115% which is variously claimed. [The 41% Fraud](#)

12. Supposedly, shoulders on the CO2 absorption peaks create global warming high in the atmosphere, because they separate from water vapor peaks; but the shoulders become miniscule, which is why they separate, and the height is in the upper atmosphere, while global warming is supposed to be about near-surface temperatures. [Fake Mechanism](#)

13. Only the last cycle of emission high in the atmosphere is relevant, because only then do more molecules capture radiation which would not otherwise be captured. But the last cycles are usually too high in the atmosphere to have much effect upon the lower atmosphere. [more details](#)

14. Climate scientists know that heating caused by CO2 is indeed small, but supposedly it's effect is amplified by a factor of 3 or more by creating more water vapor. If multiplying the heat were possible, it would create thermal runaway, as the heat from the water vapor would also be multiplied. [The Water Vapor Fraud](#)

15. Humans add 3% of the CO2 to the atmosphere, while nature adds 97%. To claim the human addition accumulates to 30%, while the nature addition does not is absurd. [The 30% Fraud](#)

16. The claimed 0.6°C atmospheric temperature increase is a contrivance. Satellites show less, and thermometers are worthless for the purpose. [Satellite Measurements—Spencer](#)
[Thermometer Errors—Keenan](#)

17. Oceans are only rising 1.8mm per year (7 inches per century), and sea level will be decreasing, because increased precipitation is causing ice to accumulate over Antarctica. [See Oceans Page](#)

18. The latest claim is that humans are putting 8.3 giga tons of carbon into the air per year, which is 1% of the 750 giga tons in the air. If one percent per year were relevant, natural variations would have been catastrophic long ago. [External Link Showing Past Variations](#)

19. Ice is melting faster than expected over oceans, particularly the Arctic. This is because oceans are heating, not the atmosphere. Increased precipitation also shows oceans are heating (increasing evaporation). A hot spot in the earth's core is the most likely cause. [See Below](#)

20. **Change**, as in climate change: Water vapor (which is 100 times as much of a greenhouse gas as CO₂) can change by a factor of 2 from day to day. CO₂ changes by 2 ppm (parts per million) per year. That's 36,500,000,000 times as much change by water vapor as by CO₂. A 1°C temperature change due to CO₂ in 20 years (0.000137°C per day) would require a 5,000,000°C change due to water vapor from day to day and place to place, if propagandists were producing a consistent logic. Of course, radiation would deplete first, but still, water vapor would swamp anything CO₂ does based on the logic of propagandists, and it means there is no "delicate balance" being upset by humans. [Delicate Balance Fraud](#)

21. A turning point for some scientists occurred (decades ago) when some jackass said the oceans are in layers, and the surface layer is too thin to absorb much carbon dioxide. The surface oceans are alkaline (pH 8.1) with no detectable increase in acidity, which means they are going to absorb carbon dioxide regardless of how thick they are said to be. [Global Dynamic](#)

22. Climatologists look to the distribution of energy (through computer models). Physics says the radiation is not there for carbon dioxide to absorb. Chemistry says humans cannot put carbon dioxide into the air, because oceans remove it. If it is not in the physics and chemistry, it is not going to be in the distribution of energy. [Hurricanes Recanted](#) (external link)

23. Species dying and disease? The temperature gets 0.6°C colder about every 50 miles further north. If it wasn't a catastrophe 50 miles south, then it is not a catastrophe now. Society is being overrun by antibiotic resistant diseases due to incompetence and fraud. To not fix that problem first is to have ulterior motives.

24. Mindless persons (including the Supreme Court) assume carbon dioxide must be the equivalent of auto exhaust pollution. CO₂ is no more of a pollutant than water is (and less of a greenhouse gas). It has been an essential part of biology for as long as biology has existed.

25. Some jerks are putting iron in the oceans trying to cool the planet.

Their first experiment seemed to work, so now they are putting more in. They aren't asking anyone, and there is no getting it back out when the oceans turn to slime.

The public has a responsibility in determining whether social realities are based on rationality or fraud. There are highly visible differences. Rationality doesn't look anything like fraud. Rationality includes explanations and evidence. Fraud is forced down peoples' throats without explanations or evidence based on a fake consensus, which is nothing but mob rule.

Cutting Back on Emissions

On a long term scale, CO₂ in the atmosphere is at the lowest level it has ever been, because oceans suck CO₂ out of the air and trap in in calcium carbonate. The amount in the air is so low that plants can barely grow. Greenhouses routinely double the amount of CO₂ in the air to improve growth. Regardless of the energy shortage, **emitting CO₂ into the air is the only good things humans have ever done for the environment.** And coal plants (non foreign) are being shut down creating an energy shortage, because coal emits more CO₂ than natural gas. The know-it-all, non conspiracy theorists who deal in nothing but substantiated fact tell us that cutting back on CO₂ emissions is the only chance of human survival. Our lives are being run by frauds, folks.

Oceans are Warming

Oceans are too complex and heterogeneous to determine averages, but nature shows the net effect.

There are two points of evidence indicating that oceans are warming: One is that precipitation is increasing, which means increased evaporation from warmer oceans; and the other is that ice is melting over oceans more than over land.

The oceans have a heat capacity 1,000 times that of the atmosphere. This means the air cannot easily heat the oceans, but oceans can easily heat the air. The atmosphere would have to heat a lot, before it could heat the oceans a little; yet the opposite is occurring. The atmosphere is only said to have warmed 0.6°C in recent times.

The ice which is suspended over the oceans is 90% submerged. To melt it, the water has to provide the heat, not the air. Not only is the water surrounding 90% of the ice, but water has the heat capacity needed to transfer a large amount of heat, while air has little heat capacity.

Ice is obviously melting rapidly at both north and south poles, but primarily over oceans. In localized areas, there is warming over land which is melting showpiece glaciers and northern tundra. But where it really matters, over the Antarctica land mass, ice is thickening. Over Greenland, both thickening and thinning of ice is occurring, but Greenland is small, and it's climate is influenced by an ocean current called the Gulf Stream.

(Over the past couple of years, the whole southern hemisphere has been cooling due to ocean currents shifting. Antarctic ice is re-accumulating, even over oceans around Antarctica, while the Arctic is melting rapidly.)

The **ultimate test** of whether the oceans or atmosphere is heating more is precipitation, and it is increasing. If the atmosphere were heating more than the oceans, there would be less precipitation, because warmer air holds more moisture. If the oceans are heating more than the air, more precipitation occurs, because evaporation increases, while there is less change in the amount of moisture the air will hold.

**If the atmosphere heats more than the oceans, precipitation decreases.
If oceans heat more than the atmosphere, precipitation increases.**

Because of the increase in precipitation, ice is thickening over land at Antarctica and Greenland, as shown by satellites which use radar to measure thickness of ice. (Details and references are on [Oceans Page](#)).

Recently, Arctic ice has been rapidly melting, but this is submerged ice melting due to warm ocean water flowing over the Bering Strait.

Here's a related oddity. Scientists cannot figure out why the Great Lakes are losing so much water. An indication is the large amount of snowfall around them during the winters. The Lakes are apparently heating up just as the oceans are, and they are evaporating away.

Ocean levels are increasing due to expansion from warming, but the increase over the past four years is twice as much as scientists can account for. This is another indication of the heat coming from the earth's core, which would cause more heat to accumulate in the deep oceans than scientists are aware of.

[Deep Ocean Heat Measured](#) - (external)

Ice Ages

The present global warming is part of a natural ice age cycle. It's what always happens at the beginning of an ice age.

Ice ages cycle at precise 100 thousand year intervals. The next one is scheduled to begin now. The temperature reversal will begin between 100 and 200 years from now. The time can be determined from the amount of precipitation. Cold temperatures alone cannot create an ice age. There has to be more snow than can melt during the summer to create an accumulation of ice. There is not enough moisture in the air at this time to do that. But it's increasing. There should be enough precipitation in a century or two to produce more snow than can melt during the summers in low enough latitudes to reflect away enough solar energy to trigger an irreversible cool-down.

The amount of moisture in the air is increasing because oceans are warming. The warming of oceans is observable in the amount of ice melting at the poles. Measurement of long term trends show ocean temperatures to be peaking at a level where ice age reversals begin.

Such precise cycling of temperatures cannot be caused by environmental factors such as greenhouse gases, because there would be too many variations. The variations would be like trying to use the clouds for a calendar. The cause of ice ages must be some force which overrides environmental influences. Two possible sources are cyclic variation in solar energy and motion of a hot spot in the earth's core. An underlying factor is that ice age cycles correlate with a variable in the earth's orbit.

Orbital influences could explain either variations in solar energy or motion of a hot spot in the earth's core. No one has been able to clearly identify a factor in the orbit of the earth which would increase solar intensity in a way which correlates with ice age cycles, not the least reason being that there is a huge amount of complexity in several variables in the orbit of the earth. There are

additional problems in explaining ice age cycles in terms of solar intensity. There are many environmental factors which interact with solar energy creating variations in the result. But it's not impossible that solar variations could override all environmental complexities and create the ice age cycles as shown on the graph.

There is however a clearer impression that the oceans are being heated from within the earth's core. The main factor creating this impression, besides total immunity of the cycles to environmental influences, is that all other changes show clear evidence of following the ocean temperature increases rather than responding to some other influence. The most significant factor is that precipitation is increasing.

Areas where weather patterns indicate warming are all microclimates resulting from nearby oceans being warmer. The most obvious example of this is Greenland and parts of Europe, which are warmed by the Gulf Stream. North America is showing milder winters due to less ice around Alaska, where the winter air often comes from. But satellite measurements show little warming in evaluating total global conditions. Global warming is occurring, but it's not the frying pan situation that it is portrayed as.

Movement of a hot spot in the earth's core could correlate with changes in the earth's orbit. The central core of the earth could be pulled farther south due to gravitational effects, and this would heat the oceans more, since there is less land area in the southern hemisphere. For details on earth's orbit see external link here: [Tilt in Earth's Orbit](#)
[Motion of Earth's Core](#)

Heat from Earth's Core

Heat constantly migrates from the core of the earth to the surface. This heat keeps lakes from freezing solid, after ice forms on the surface. It keeps the ground from freezing more than six feet deep in the winter. It causes deep well water to be hot, which can sometimes be used for geothermal heating.

Therefore, the cause of global warming could be oceans increasing in temperature due to increased heat flowing from the earth's core. The increased heat could be due to a hot spot which rotates in the molten fluid within the earth. As it rotates, it could get closer to the surface in an area where the heat can diffuse into the oceans.

Or it could be the central core being pulled closer to the surface due to gravitational forces in the solar system related to changes in the earth's orbit. The central core of the earth is highly dense, consisting largely of iron, which would cause it to respond to gravitational forces. Magnetic north constantly migrates demonstrating that masses move around in the molten fluid within the earth.

There is new evidence for the earth's core heating based on variations in the earth's magnetic field. There is about a six year lag for "global warming" correlating with the amount of time required for the heat to conduct through the mantle. A summary article is here:

<http://www.gsaaj.org/articles/TempPaperv1n22007.pdf>

A new submarine for measuring the temperature of deep oceans found more heat than expected on its first measurement. Deep ocean heat points to the heat coming from the earth's core. [Deep Ocean Heat Measured](#) - (external)

A related point is that expansion of the oceans from heating is twice as much as expected, with no explanation. The best explanation is that the deep oceans are hotter than expected due to heat coming from the earth's core.

Some Data

"Total human CO2 emissions primarily from use of coal, oil, and natural gas and the production of cement are currently about 5.5 GT C per year (giga tons of

carbon per year). A recent update says 8.6 GT.

To put these figures in perspective, it is estimated that the atmosphere contains 750 GT C; the surface ocean contains 1,000 GT C; vegetation, soils, and detritus contain 2,200 GT C; and the intermediate and deep oceans contain 38,000 GT C. Each year, the surface ocean and atmosphere exchange an estimated 90 GT C; vegetation and the atmosphere, 60 GT C; marine biota and the surface ocean, 50 GT C; and the surface ocean and the intermediate and deep oceans, 100 GT C."

Notice that the oceans exchange ten times as much carbon with the atmosphere as humans produce (90GT vs. 8.6 GT). Exchange means **regulation**. And humans only add 1% as much CO₂ to the atmosphere per year as already in it. If such a miniscule amount were as critical as propagandists claim, all life would have been destroyed long ago. Nature hasn't been sitting on a 1% knife edge for millions of years.

Reference:

[Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine](#)

The Cost of Global Warming Alarmism

From Marc Morano, Senate Staffer

October 19, 2007

The Lieberman-Warner bill will burden American families with additional energy costs and significantly harm the United States economy...

CO₂ cap-and-trade schemes were exposed by a recent CBO (Congressional Budget Office) study as creating massive wealth redistribution from the poor and working class to wealthier Americans. Further, according to a MIT study released earlier this year, cap-and-trade legislation introduced earlier in the Senate this year by Senator Bernie Sanders (I-VT) and Senator Boxer (D-CA) would cost energy sector consumers an amount equal to \$4,500 per American family of four. The same study found a bill sponsored by Senator Lieberman and Senator McCain (R-AZ) would cost consumers \$3,500 per family of four. And a new EPA analysis shows the Lieberman - McCain bill would cost up to half a trillion dollars by 2030 and \$1.3 trillion by 2050 - and that was based on assumptions designed to low-ball the number, begging the question of how high the real figure would be.

[Note: That's just one congressional bill at the starting point. It doesn't begin to total the cost of removing carbon dioxide from the air, particularly since the underlying motive of the agitators is to reduce the population of the globe by 90%. GN]

[A recent UN study concluded that the cost would be \$45 trillion to reduce carbon dioxide emissions to stated goals by 2050.]

[Cap and Trade Fraud](#)

External Links:

[Icecap](#) - Scientists Criticizing

[World Climate Report](#) - web site

[Railroaded Science](#) - By Richard Lindzen

[Al Gore is Wrong](#) - By Richard Lindzen

[Fraudulent Consensus](#) - By James Inhofe

[CO₂ Absorption at 15 \$\mu\$ M](#) - By Heinz Hug

[More Oceans Heating](#)

[Latest on Ice Core Measurements](#)

[Jaworowski: critic of ice core measurements](#)

[Heat in Earth's Interior](#)

[Fred Singer's Web Site](#)

[CO₂ Science Org](#)

[I Love My Carbon Dioxide](#)

[A look at the Numbers](#)
[High Levels of CO2](#)
[El Nino and CO2](#)
[Political Criticism. May 24, 2006](#)
[Bio fuels Destroy Environments](#)
[Historical Summary](#)
[Raw Data on CO2 in Air](#)
[Extremes in Past](#)
[Solar energy as Cause](#)
[Tilt in Earth's Orbit](#)
[Oceans Heating at Antarctica](#)
[Deep Ocean Heat](#)
[CO2 Criticisms—Segalstad](#)
[Thermodynamics - PDF](#) — Gerlich and Tscheuschner
[New Oceanography Study](#) — by Anthoni

Climate Change: The carbon creates the droughts, and the oxygen creates the floods. Since it does two things, they call it CO2. If you don't believe this, it's because you promote big oil and big tobacco, and you refuse to look at the science of the subject. The IPCC said so; and it's peer reviewed science.
